



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/147433

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

#17

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John J. Guarriello (3) Tomoyuki Kakehi  
(2) Jeffrey S. Melcher (4)

Date of interview Dec. 13, 1994

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22-24

Identification of prior art discussed: Takimoto et al. 4, 761, 383, Japanese abs.  
60-92345

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion centered upon the novelty of the ingredients in the composition. In particular the prior art <sup>of record</sup> does not suggest the linear chain of alkyl in the plasticizer. Written record will be considered when submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

John J. Guarriello  
Examiner's Signature

## Interview Summary

Application No. <b>08/396,088</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Koizumi et al.</b>	
Examiner <b>John J. Guarriello</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1511</b>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John J. Guarriello (3) Tomoyuki Kakehi  
(2) Jeffrey S. Melcher (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 13, 1994

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 22-24

Identification of prior art discussed:

Takimoto et al. 4,761,333; Japanese abstract 60-92345

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussion centered upon the novelty of the ingredients in the composition. In particular the prior art of record does not suggest the linear chain of alkyl in the plasticizer. Written record will be considered when submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.